# Hampshire Water Transfer and Water Recycling Project

# Draft Statement of Common Ground - Historic England

**VOLUME NUMBER:** 5

**PLANNING INSPECTORATE SCHEME NUMBER:** WA010002

**APPLICATION DOCUMENT REFERENCE:** 5.8

**APFP REGULATION:** 5(2)(q)

~~May~~23 November 2026

Version ~~0~~1

from Southern Water

| Document Control | | |
|---|---|---|
| Title | Draft Statement of Common Ground - Historic England | |
| - | - | |
| Version History | | |
| **Version** | **Date** | **Status/Reason for Issue** |
| Version 0 | 21 May 2026 | DCO Submission |
| Version 1 | 23 November 2026 | Deadline 1 |

# Contents

**1 Introduction ..... 1**
1.1 Overview of the project ..... 1
1.2 Purpose of this Statement of Common Ground ..... 1
1.3 Parties to this statement ..... 1
1.4 Terminology ..... 2
**2 Record of post DCO submission engagement ..... 3**
**3 Statement of Common Ground ..... 4**
**4 Signatories ..... 15**

# Tables

~~Table 1-1: Status terminology ..... 2~~
~~Table 3-1: Summary of matters ..... 4~~
Table 1-1: Status terminology ..... 2
Table 2-1: Record of post-submission engagement ..... 3
Table 3-1: Summary of matters ..... 4

# References (if required)

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Overview of the project

1.1.1 Southern Water Services Limited (hereafter referred to as the 'Applicant') is developing proposals for the Hampshire Water Transfer and Water Recycling Project (the Project). The Project is a water supply scheme that will ensure the Applicant can maintain essential water supplies to customers, especially in a drought, while protecting the rare and sensitive River Test and River Itchen chalk streams.

1.1.2 The Project would use advanced treatment techniques to turn highly treated wastewater, that is usually pumped far out to sea, into purified recycled water at a new water recycling plant in Havant. This purified recycled water would be pumped via a pipeline to the Havant Thicket Reservoir where it would mix with spring water. Water from the reservoir would then be pumped along another pipeline to the Applicant's Otterbourne Water Supply Works where it would be treated to strict drinking water standards before being sent into supply.

## 1.2 Purpose of this Statement of Common Ground

1.2.1 The purpose of this Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) is to set out the areas of agreement and disagreement between the Applicant, and Historic England in relation to the Development Consent Order (DCO) application for the Project.

1.2.2 This document has been updated at Deadline 1 to reflect further engagement, progress in resolving outstanding matters and any changes to the parties' positions following submission of the DCO application. References to other Application documents have been updated from the original submission. Please refer to the latest version of the Guide to the Application (Document reference 1.3, DCO Volume 1) for the list of current versions of documents.

~~1.2.2~~1.2.3 Historic England is ~~more formally known as~~the public name of the Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission for England. They are the government's statutory adviser on all matters relating to the historic environment, including world heritage. It is their duty under the provisions of the National Heritage Act 1983 (as amended) to secure the preservation and enhancement of the historic environment.

~~1.2.3~~1.2.4 This SoCG has been prepared with due regard to guidance issued under Section 50 of the Planning Act 2008 (PA 2008) concerning pre-application processes, including the Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities (2024) Planning Act 2008: Pre-application stage for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects ('Pre-application Guidance 2024').

## 1.3 Parties to this statement

1.3.1 This SoCG has been prepared by (1) Southern Water Services Limited as the Applicant and (2) Historic England.

1.3.2 Collectively, Southern Water Services Limited and Historic England are referred to as 'the parties'.

## 1.4 Terminology

1.4.1 Table 1-1 outlines the terminology in the status column of Table 3-1. It can be taken that any matters not specifically referred to in Table 3-1 are not of material interest or relevance to the parties' representation and therefore have not been considered in this document.

**Table 1-1: Status terminology**

| Term | Explanation |
|---|---|
| Matter agreed with other party | Indicates that both Southern Water and Historic England have reached a consensus on the specific issue, with no disagreements. |
| Provisional agreement pending application evidence | Indicates that while consensus has been reached on certain issues, confirmation of agreement is contingent upon Historic England reviewing and accepting the supporting evidence. |
| Matters subject to further discussion | Indicates that the specific issues are still under discussion, and no final agreement has been reached yet. |
| Matter not able to be agreed | Indicates that an agreement on the specific issue has not been reached, and it is unlikely that further discussions will resolve the disagreement. |

# 2 Record of post DCO submission engagement

2.1.1 The Applicant has engaged with Historic England throughout the development of the DCO application~~.~~ and continues to engage with Historic England following submission of the application.

2.1.2 A comprehensive record of pre-~~-~~application engagement, including statutory consultation carried out under section 42 of the PA 2008, is provided in the Statement of Engagement (Statement of Commonality appended) ~~(Document reference 5.9, DCO Volume 5)~~[APP-072] and the Consultation Report ~~(Document reference 5.1, DCO Volume 5).~~[APP-029].

~~2.1.3~~ This version of the SoCG ~~has been prepared for submission~~is submitted at Examination Deadline 1 (DL1). It represents an update to the draft SoCG submitted with the DCO application, which had a reporting cut-~~-~~off date of 30 March 2026~~.~~ ~~Engagement~~ , and has been updated to reflect engagement with Historic England ~~has continued beyond this~~ since that date ~~and will remain ongoing throughout the Examination. Accordingly, while this submitted version reflects all engagement up to 30 March 2026, the SoCG will continue to evolve as a live document, with further updates provided as additional discussions take place~~, where appropriate. The SoCG is currently unsigned but has been reviewed and ~~outstanding matters progress.~~

~~2.1.4~~2.1.3 agreed by the relevant officer(s) at Historic England. The Applicant confirms that ~~at the reporting cut-off date, this version~~it accurately reflects the matters discussed and the current position between the parties. Formal agreement and signing of the SoCG will be progressed as engagement continues during the Examination.

2.1.4 ~~Since the reporting cut-off date, where necessary~~Where appropriate, bilateral engagement with Historic England has continued since submission of the application on unresolved matters from the pre-~~-~~application phase, matters arising during Pre-examination/Examination, and areas where further clarification is required ~~has continued. Where~~ . **Table 2-1** sets out this engagement ~~has occurred, any records relevant to these matters~~. Engagement remains ongoing throughout the Examination, and this SoCG is a live document, which will be ~~set out~~ updated and resubmitted as appropriate to reflect ongoing discussions, progress in ~~future iterations of this section.~~resolving matters, and any changes to the status of issues identified by the parties.

**Table 2-1: Record of post-submission engagement**

| Name | Purpose | Date |
|---|---|---|
| SoCG Review Meeting 1 | First review of the draft SoCG post-submission; to update the latest position and status of issues which had not been agreed in the pre-Examination phase. | 17/07/2026 |

# 3 Statement of Common Ground

3.1.1 Table 3-1 provides a summary of the key matters discussed between the Applicant and Historic England in relation to the DCO application for the Project. Each matter is categorised according to its status, as defined in section 1.4. Table 3-1 aims to clearly present the areas of agreement, those still under discussion, and any unresolved issues.

**Table 3-1: Summary of matters**

| Row ID | Topic | Summary of Historic England issue | Latest Position in Resolving the Issue | Application Document Reference | Status |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Design and construction** | | | | | |
| HE-ES-3 | Construction details | ~~Full construction details of all associated development will be required~~Historic England confirms that, although the detailed design is yet to ~~adequately evaluate the~~be finalised, all potential impacts to designated and undesignated heritage assets and appropriate levels of mitigation are addressed in the DCO application documents. | ~~In this context, Historic England have confirmed that 'associated development' is used in the general sense of all development forming part of the Project. The Applicant confirms that the~~The Environmental Statement assesses all the development parameters of the DCO in line with the Project description provided in ES Chapter 3 Description of the Proposed Development~~, Volume I (Document reference 6.1, DCO Volume 6).~~ [APP-082].<br><br>Historic England ~~has expressed provisional satisfaction with this position but note~~confirms that ~~full construction details will not be available until~~, although the detailed design is yet to be finalised, all potential impacts to designated and undesignated heritage assets and appropriate levels of mitigation are addressed in the DCO application documents. | ES Chapter 3 Description of the Proposed Development~~, Volume I (Document reference 6.1, DCO Volume 6)~~ [APP-082] | ~~Matters subject to further discussion~~Matter agreed with other party |
| **Archaeology, cultural heritage and heritage settings** | | | | | |
| HE-ES-311 | Archaeology and cultural heritage - scope | Historic England has been engaged throughout the stages of the Environmental Impact Assessment in order to seek its input to and approval of the scope of the assessment comprising receptors, categories of effect and pathways of effect. | Historic England's comments on the historic environment assessment scope, including receptors, categories of effect and pathways of effect, have been sought and incorporated. Historic England is satisfied overall with the scope of the assessment. | ES Chapter 7 Archaeology and cultural heritage~~, Volume I (Document reference 6.1, DCO Volume 6)~~ [APP-086] | Matter agreed with other party |
| HE-ES-33 | Archaeology and cultural heritage - baseline | Historic England has been engaged throughout the stages of the Environmental Impact Assessment in order to seek its input to and approval of the baseline used for the assessment of effects on the historic environment. | Historic England's comments on the historic environment baseline have been sought and incorporated. Historic England is satisfied overall with the baseline used for assessment. | ES Chapter 7 Archaeology and cultural heritage~~, Volume I (Document reference 6.1, DCO Volume 6)~~ [APP-086] | Matter agreed with other party |
| HE-ES-321 | Archaeology and cultural heritage - methodology | Historic England has been engaged throughout the stages of the Environmental Impact Assessment in order to seek its input to and approval of the methodology used for the assessment of impacts on the historic environment. | Historic England's comments on the historic environment assessment methodology have been sought and incorporated. Historic England is satisfied overall with the assessment methodology used. | ES Chapter 7 Archaeology and cultural heritage~~, Volume I (Document reference 6.1, DCO Volume 6)~~ [APP-086] | Matter agreed with other party |
| HE-ES-9 | Archaeology and cultural heritage - methodology | Historic England ~~welcome~~welcomes the findings of the ES Appendix 7.5 Geoarchaeological monitoring report, and ~~approve~~approves its recommendations for further test-pits and boreholes in designated areas. | A deposit model has been developed, drawn from boreholes and test pits, and is included in the DCO application ES Appendix 7.5 Geoarchaeological monitoring reporting~~, Volume II (Document reference 6.2, DCO Volume 6). [APP-121].~~ A draft of this appendix has been | ES Appendix 7.5 Geoarchaeological monitoring reporting~~, Volume II (Document~~ | ~~Matters subject to further discussion~~Matter agreed with other party |

| Row ID | Topic | Summary of Historic England issue | Latest Position in Resolving the Issue | Application Document Reference | Status |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Historic England is satisfied that the Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) includes these recommendations and outlines appropriate levels of further works to be realised post-consent. | shared with Historic England.<br><br>ES Appendix 7.5 Geoarchaeological monitoring reporting~~, Volume II (Document reference 6.2, DCO Volume 6)~~ [APP-121] will be used to inform the development of geoarchaeological survey-specific written schemes of investigation, which will in turn inform the micro-siting of the Project at detailed design stage. | ~~reference 6.2, DCO Volume 6)~~ [APP-121] | |
| HE-ES-14 | Archaeology and cultural heritage - assessment | Historic England requested that permanent adverse effects on the aircraft crash site at Frith Farm be avoided and is satisfied with the Applicant's approach. | The Applicant has engaged with Historic England on this matter by providing pertinent reporting and meeting to discuss the proposed approach to the crash sites. The Applicant has outlined how, at Frith Farm, the pipeline route is very constrained in this area and the debris field extends widely, hence the limited scope for avoidance of this site. The Ministry of Defence (MoD) has previously licenced work at this crash site and has confirmed that it does not anticipate a problem with licencing for this Project subject to an agreed approach to recovery and reporting of any military remains. In terms of the mitigation approach, archaeological works will be agreed with the MoD and set out in a Survey-Specific Written Scheme of Investigation secured by requirement of the DCO (Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1)). Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) makes provision for detailed excavation of the impact site along with metal detector survey and surface collection within the Order Limits to allow for recovery and spatial analysis of debris in topsoil. Proposals for community engagement will be developed in line with section 11 Outreach and Engagement. Historic England agreed that this proposed mitigation approach is appropriate, to include a full excavation rather than a piecemeal approach on the site.<br><br>It is also noted that Historic England offered no comment/objection to the proposed approach to the Pigeonhouse Farm crash site, which is to avoid disturbance and maximise the separation of the Project from the crash site, whilst still seeking a licence for works within the same field so that any potential debris can be collected and properly handled. | Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) | Matter agreed with other party |

| Row ID | Topic | Summary of Historic England issue | Latest Position in Resolving the Issue | Application Document Reference | Status |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HE-ES-17 | Archaeology and cultural heritage - assessment | Historic England is satisfied with the Applicant's conclusion that there is no potential for effects on heritage assets to arise from changes to the water environment. | There is no potential for effects on heritage assets to arise from changes to the water environment. ~~Changes to drainage are managed through measures secured by Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (Document reference 7.1, DCO Volume 7).~~ No potential receptors or effect pathways have been identified. This is set out in ES Chapter 7 Archaeology and cultural heritage~~, Volume I~~ [APP-086]. Changes to drainage are managed through measures secured by the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan – 1 of 3 documents (Document reference ~~6~~7.1, DCO Volume ~~6).~~7, Version 1) e.g. measures WE4 and WE5.<br><br>Historic England ~~has been~~was provided with draft ES Appendix 7.4 Geoarchaeological desk-based assessment and landscape characterisation~~, Volume II (Document reference 6.2, DCO Volume 6)~~ [APP-120] and draft ES Appendix 7.5 Geoarchaeological monitoring reporting~~, Volume II (Document reference 6.2, DCO Volume 6),~~ [APP-121], including the deposit model, as requested, and has not raised any further concern regarding this issue. | ES Chapter 7 Archaeology and cultural heritage~~, Volume I (Document reference 6.1, DCO Volume 6)~~ [APP-086]<br><br>ES Appendix 7.4 Geoarchaeological desk-based assessment and landscape characterisation~~, Volume II (Document reference 6.2, DCO Volume 6)~~ [APP-120]<br><br>ES Appendix 7.5 Geoarchaeological monitoring reporting~~, Volume II (Document reference 6.2, DCO Volume 6)~~ [APP-121]<br><br>Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan – 1 of 3 documents (Document reference 7.1, DCO Volume 7~~),~~ Version 1) | Matter agreed with other party |
| HE-ES-34 | Archaeology and cultural heritage - assessment | Historic England has been engaged throughout the stages of the Environmental Impact Assessment in order to seek input to and approval of the findings of the assessment of effects on the historic environment. Historic England is ~~broadly~~ in agreement with the assessment findings ~~but will review the full DCO application before firm agreement can be reached~~. | The findings of the historic environment impact assessment were presented in the Historic Environment and Landscape Environmental Impact Assessment Working Group in September 2025. Historic England is ~~broadly~~ in agreement ~~but will review~~with the ~~full DCO application before firm agreement can be reached~~findings. | ES Chapter 7 Archaeology and cultural heritage~~, Volume I (Document reference 6.1, DCO Volume 6)~~ [APP-086] | ~~Matters subject to further discussion~~Matter agreed with other party |
| HE-ES-16 | Heritage assets settings assessment | Historic England is satisfied that the viewpoints used are appropriate to understand heritage asset impacts.<br><br>Historic England is satisfied that the viewpoints from Fort Widley to the proposed location of Break Pressure Tank/Intermediate Pumping Station - E are appropriate for the assessment. Intervisibility between the monument and the proposed Break Pressure Tank/Intermediate Pumping Station - E is precluded by woodland, hedgerow planting and the presence of the Churchillian (Public House) and Harbour Heights apartments. | Viewpoint locations and baseline photography developed for the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment and heritage-specific viewpoints have been shared with consultees via Moata (a Geographic Information System). It has been agreed that these viewpoints are appropriate to understand the effects on heritage assets. These effects are set out in ES Chapter 7 Archaeology and cultural heritage~~, Volume I (Document reference 6.1, DCO Volume 6).~~ [APP-086].<br><br>A visualisation has been provided from a viewpoint agreed with Historic England on the ramparts of Fort Widley looking back to the site of Break Pressure Tank/Intermediate Pumping Station - E (Viewpoint-134) which is included in | ES Chapter 7 Archaeology and cultural heritage~~, Volume I (Document reference 6.1, DCO Volume 6)~~ [APP-086]<br><br>ES Figure 7.5 Heritage Specific View Point from Fort Widley looking East~~, Volume III (Document reference 6.3, DCO Volume 6)~~ [APP-228] | Matter agreed with other party |

| Row ID | Topic | Summary of Historic England issue | Latest Position in Resolving the Issue | Application Document Reference | Status |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | the ES as ES Figure 7.5 Heritage Specific View Point from Fort Widley looking East~~, Volume III (Document reference 6.3, DCO Volume 6).~~ [APP-228]. | | |
| HE-NSC-3 | Heritage assets settings assessment | Historic England is satisfied that the assessment of setting has been undertaken in accordance with its GPA3 guidance. | Setting assessment is part of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA). The Heritage Assets Settings Scoping Appraisal was produced to fulfil the requirements of Step 1 of the methodology set out in the Historic Environment Good Practice Advice in Planning: 3 (GPA3) (Historic England, 2017). The Heritage Assets Settings Scoping Appraisal is appended to ES Chapter 7 Archaeology and cultural heritage~~, Volume I (Document reference 6.1, DCO Volume 6)~~ [APP-086] (ES Appendix 7.6 Heritage assets settings scoping appraisal~~, Volume II (Document reference 6.2, DCO Volume 6))~~. [APP-122]). The assessment required by Steps 2, 3 and 4 of this methodology are set out in ES Appendix 7.7 Heritage assets setting assessment~~, Volume II (Document reference 6.2, DCO Volume 6)~~ [APP-123] and summarised at Section 7.8 of ES Chapter 7 Archaeology and cultural heritage~~, Volume I (Document reference 6.1, DCO Volume 6).~~ [APP-086]. | ES Chapter 7 Archaeology and cultural heritage~~, Volume I (Document reference 6.1, DCO Volume 6)~~ [APP-086]<br><br>ES Appendix 7.6 Heritage assets settings scoping appraisal~~, Volume II (Document reference 6.2, DCO Volume 6)~~ [APP-122]<br><br>ES Appendix 7.7 Heritage assets setting assessment~~, Volume II (Document reference 6.2, DCO Volume 6)~~ [APP-123] | Matter agreed with other party |
| HE-ES-22 | Heritage assets settings assessment | ~~Appropriate mitigation must be demonstrated as needed based on the outcome of the setting assessment.~~ Historic England has reviewed the submitted application and is content that proposals outlined in the Indicative Environmental Masterplan which is an appendix to the Design Approach Document [APP-077] - [APP-079] and the Biodiversity Gain Plan [APP-426] are unlikely to cause harm to any designated heritage assets. It is clear within the Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (Document reference 7.5, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) that consideration will ~~review~~be given to ensure this ~~in full~~is the case. As such Historic England is content that the final landscape and ecology management details are included as a DCO requirement (Schedule 2, Requirement 4) and remain in ~~the DCO application~~provisional agreement on this matter until Historic England is added as a consultee for submissions provided to discharge said requirement. | Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (Document reference 7.5, DCO Volume 7, Version 1)<br>and Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) set out mitigation measures that are secured in Design Principles Document (Document reference 5.11, DCO Volume 5, Version 1) and Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan – 1 of 3 documents (Document reference 7.1, DCO Volume 7~~)~~, Version 1) to ensure that construction methods and design respond to the settings of heritage assets and historic character of the landscape while considering potential archaeological impacts. These documents have been informed by the settings assessment. These documents have been ~~engaged on with Historic England and will be~~ reviewed in full by Historic England as part of the pre-examination phase.<br><br>Historic England requested to be named in DCO Schedule 2 Requirement 4 as a consultee for the landscape and ecology management plan. The Applicant has agreed to this request and the Draft Development Consent Order [APP-023] will be | Draft Development Consent Order [APP-023]<br><br>Biodiversity Gain Plan [APP-426]<br><br>Design Principles Document (Document reference 5.11, DCO Volume 5~~)~~, Version 1)<br><br>Design Approach Document [APP-077] - [APP-079]<br><br>Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan – 1 of 3 documents (Document reference 7.1, DCO Volume 7, Version 1)<br><br>Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (Document reference 7.5, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) | Matters subject to further discussion |

| Row ID | Topic | Summary of Historic England issue | Latest Position in Resolving the Issue | Application Document Reference | Status |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | updated accordingly. Historic England reserve their full agreement with this matter until this update is made. | Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) | |
| HE-ES-19 | Archaeology and cultural heritage - mitigation | The Applicant and Historic England have agreed the principle that archaeological works will be guided by a project-specific archaeological research agenda having regard to period-based and thematic questions in relevant regional and national research agendas. | The Applicant and Historic England have agreed the principle that archaeological works will be guided by a project-specific archaeological research agenda having regard to period-based and thematic questions in relevant regional and national research agendas. This is set out in Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) which has been reviewed by Historic England. | Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) | Matter agreed with other party |
| HE-ES-21 | Archaeology and cultural heritage - mitigation | Historic England is satisfied with the Outline Written Scheme of Investigation that has been developed for the DCO application submission. | Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) sets the scope and standards of archaeological investigation and recording required as mitigation of any direct physical effects on archaeological remains. It was engaged on with Historic England ahead of DCO application submission and is included in the DCO application as Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1). | Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) | Matter agreed with other party |
| HE-ES-31 | Archaeology and cultural heritage - mitigation | Historic England is satisfied with the inclusion of measures in the Outline Written Scheme of Investigation allowing for stand down of construction works in respect of unexpected archaeological remains being observed. | The Applicant and Historic England agree that in-principle measures allowing for stand down of construction works in respect of unexpected archaeological remains being observed are set out in Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1). More detailed procedures would be set out on a case-by-case basis in the Survey-Specific Written Schemes of Investigation. | Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) | Matter agreed with other party |
| HE-NSC-1 | Archaeology and cultural heritage - mitigation | Historic England is satisfied that non-designated archaeological sites and remains, some of which may have the potential to be considered nationally important in equivalence to Scheduled Monuments, have been accounted for, considered and appropriate mitigation proposed. | All designated and non-designated heritage assets, as well as potential heritage assets, have been taken into consideration as part of the scheme development process in order to avoid harm to known features as far as practicable, taking account of the wider parameters of the Project. All designated and non-designated heritage assets, as well as potential heritage assets, have been considered in the Environmental Impact Assessment process and Historic England has been engaged throughout. Where effects have been identified, appropriate mitigation has been included as agreed with Historic England, and set out in DCO documentation as cross-referenced in table 1-1 in Outline Written Scheme of Investigation | Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) | Matter agreed with other party |

| Row ID | Topic | Summary of Historic England issue | Latest Position in Resolving the Issue | Application Document Reference | Status |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1). | | |
| HE-ES-18 | Archaeology and cultural heritage - mitigation | Historic England has ~~expressed provisional satisfaction~~ reviewed the DCO application and is in overall agreement with the Applicant's approach to avoiding or minimising harm to heritage assets ~~but reserves its full agreement until the DCO application has been reviewed.~~. Historic England is satisfied that the addition of measure ACH3 to the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan – 1 of 3 documents (Document reference 7.1, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) appropriately secures the historic environment mitigation measures detailed in the Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1). | The Project has avoided all direct physical impacts to Scheduled Monuments and Listed Buildings, and has been designed to minimise any impacts on settings. It has not, however been possible to entirely avoid limited degrees of harm to a small number of designated heritage assets as a result of the need to address regulatory, engineering and other environmental constraints.<br><br>The only designated heritage asset that would be physically affected would be the Old Bedhampton Conservation Area, where assessment has taken a worst case scenario of a short length of above-ground pipeline which is required to avoid intrusive works in the Source Protection Zone for Bedhampton Springs.<br><br>ES Chapter 7 Archaeology and cultural heritage~~, Volume I (Document reference 6.1, DCO Volume 6)~~ [APP-086] has identified harm to the significance of a small number of designated heritage assets through change to setting during construction. In all cases this harm would be less than substantial magnitude and at the lower end of the scale and in the following cases would be reversed on the completion of construction. These are:<br>• Fort Purbrook (Scheduled Monument, also Grade II* listed NHLE 1001842)<br>• Fort Widley (Scheduled Monument, also Grade II* listed NHLE 1001862)<br>• Fort Nelson (Scheduled Monument, also Grade I listed NHLE 1001860)<br>• Fort Southwick (Scheduled Monument, also Grade I listed NHLE 1001808)<br>• Church of St Nicholas, Boarhunt (Grade I listed NHLE 1350613)<br>• House at Saw Mills (Pink and Company Limited) (Grade II listed NHLE 1230002)<br>• Mission Room with Cottage Adjoining (Grade II listed NHLE 1351248)<br>• Castle Farmhouse (Grade II listed NHLE 1095637)<br>• Little Park Mansions (Grade II listed NHLE 1350591) | Scheme Development Report ~~(Document reference 5.10, DCO Volume 5)~~[APP-073] - [APP-075]<br><br>Design Principles Document (Document reference 5.11, DCO Volume 5, Version 1)<br><br>Design Approach Document ~~(Document reference 5.12, DCO Volume 5)~~[APP-077] - [APP-079]<br><br>ES Chapter 7 Archaeology and cultural heritage~~, Volume I~~ [APP-086]<br><br>Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan – 1 of 3 documents (Document reference ~~6~~7.1, DCO Volume ~~6)~~ 7, Version 1)<br><br>Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (Document reference 7.5, DCO Volume 7, Version 1)<br><br>Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) | ~~Matters subject to further discussion~~Matter agreed with other party |

| Row ID | Topic | Summary of Historic England issue | Latest Position in Resolving the Issue | Application Document Reference | Status |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | • Sandy Hill House (Grade II listed NHLE 1350573)<br>Park Pale at Marwell Park (Scheduled Monument NHLE 1012308)<br>• Low Hill Farm House (Grade II listed NHLE 1302822)<br>• Keepers Cottage (Grade II listed NHLE 1095822)<br>Otterbourne Manor (Scheduled Monument, NHLE 1013055)<br>• Otterbourne Manor House (Grade II listed NHLE 1095795)<br><br>The Old Mill House (Grade II listed (NHLE) 1340188) would be subject to a non-significant adverse effect that would reduce on completion of construction.<br><br>Park Place (Grade II* listed NHLE 1095586) would be subject to a limited magnitude of harm during construction of the nearby pumping station (IPS-G), which would reduce discernibly, but not be entirely reversed at the completion of construction. The location of this element of the Project is determined by the function of the pipeline and landscape mitigation is secured by Design Principles Document (Document reference 5.11, DCO Volume 5, Version 1) and Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (Document reference 7.5, DCO Volume 7, Version 1), and is illustrated in the Indicative Environmental Masterplan which is an appendix to Design Approach Document ~~(Document reference 5.12, DCO Volume 5).~~[APP-077] - [APP-079].<br><br>The former parkland at Marwell contains a network of former fishponds, evidenced by earthwork remains of dams and channels, that has been provisionally assigned a value that is equivalent to a Scheduled Monument. Effects on these remains have been considered and the Project has been designed to avoid causing lasting harm to visible elements of the fishponds and to minimise disturbance of archaeological remains of the fishponds. Alternative routes that may have reduced or avoided these effects were considered but were either not practicable or would have given rise to unacceptable effects on other environmental receptors. | | |

| Row ID | Topic | Summary of Historic England issue | Latest Position in Resolving the Issue | Application Document Reference | Status |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | In the majority of cases, any harm to designated heritage assets arising through change to setting would be reversed entirely at the end of, or shortly after, the completion of construction. Design decisions have been based on a multi-disciplinary assessment that weighs potential environmental and construction constraints. Scheme Development Report ~~(Document reference 5.10, DCO Volume 5)~~[APP-073] - [APP-075] sets out in detail the process that has been taken to design the Project and it explains how alternatives have been considered. This report has been submitted with the DCO application.<br><br>It is not possible to avoid all harm to non-designated heritage assets, and known archaeological features have been considered during design of the Project. Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) sets out a staged programme of mitigation that would allow for changes in detailed design, where possible and appropriate, to further minimise any adverse effect.<br><br>Historic England has ~~expressed provisional satisfaction with this position but reserves its full agreement until~~reviewed the DCO application ~~has been reviewed.~~and is in overall agreement with the Applicant's approach to avoiding or minimising harm to heritage assets. | | |
| HE-ES-24 | Archaeology and cultural heritage - mitigation | Historic England is in ~~provisional~~ agreement with the timing/sequence of archaeological investigations detailed in Outline Written Scheme of Investigation. | Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) submitted with the DCO application clarifies the relationship of archaeological works to the delivery programme: each stage of survey and initial evaluation work will be undertaken post-consent and in advance of construction works. Works may be carried out during the delivery of construction works either as a specific recommendation (for a watching brief or other monitoring of construction works), or where such works would not be safe or practicable outside an established construction programme.<br><br>Historic England has expressed ~~provisional~~ satisfaction with this position ~~but reserve its full agreement until the DCO application has been reviewed~~. | Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) | ~~Matters subject to further discussion~~Matter agreed with other party |

| Row ID | Topic | Summary of Historic England issue | Latest Position in Resolving the Issue | Application Document Reference | Status |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HE-ES-25 | Archaeology and cultural heritage - mitigation | Historic England is in ~~provisional~~ agreement that the Outline Written Scheme of Investigation ~~covers the~~sets out a process ~~for~~by which archaeological investigation can inform application of design principles ~~through construction.~~at detailed design stage. | Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) submitted with the DCO application cross-references to Design Principles Document (Document reference 5.11, DCO Volume 5, Version 1) and sets out a process by which archaeological investigation would inform application of those principles at detailed design stage.<br><br>Historic England has expressed ~~provisional~~ satisfaction with this position ~~but reserve its full agreement until the DCO application has been reviewed~~. | Design Principles Document (Document reference 5.11, DCO Volume 5, Version 1)<br><br>Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) | ~~Matters subject to further discussion~~Matter agreed with other party |
| HE-ES-23 | Archaeology and cultural heritage - mitigation | ~~Exclusion~~The Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) makes appropriate provision for stakeholders to be consulted on exclusion of any areas from further archaeological work ~~needs to be agreed with stakeholders in the construction phase.~~ . | Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) submitted with the DCO application incorporates Historic England's pre-application comments on this matter.<br><br>Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) sets out a process for agreement of areas of further archaeological investigation and mitigation with Historic England and the local planning authority (LPA) Archaeologists in future project stages. Therefore, further details will be discussed with Historic England as required at the project construction stage. Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) remains a live document through the pre-examination phase and will continue to be engaged on in order to address any further comments from Historic England and seek its agreement on the version to be presented at examination. | Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) | ~~Matters subject to further discussion~~<br><br>~~Engagement with HE to continue through examination and in future project stages.~~Matter agreed with other party |
| HE-ES-26 | Archaeology and cultural heritage - mitigation | ~~The sampling strategy in~~ Historic England confirms that the Outline Written Scheme of Investigation ~~has been~~ includes appropriate guidelines for sampling strategies to be developed ~~on the basis of comments provided by~~ at site-specific level.<br><br>Historic England~~.~~<br><br>~~However, it~~ is ~~noted that the detail of any sampling strategy would be set out in the Survey-Specific~~happy to provide advice to the LPAs about site-specific Written Schemes of Investigation at detailed design stage. ~~Engagement on this topic will therefore need to continue in the Project's detailed design stage.~~ | Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) submitted with the DCO application incorporates Historic England's pre-application comments, including comments about sampling strategy.<br><br>Engagement on the detail of any sampling strategy in the Survey-Specific Written Schemes of Investigation will need to continue in the Project's detailed design stage. | Outline Written Scheme of Investigation (Document reference 7.6, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) | ~~Matters subject to further discussion~~<br><br>~~Engagement with HE to continue through examination and in future project stages.~~Matter agreed with other party |

| Row ID | Topic | Summary of Historic England issue | Latest Position in Resolving the Issue | Application Document Reference | Status |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HE-NSC-2 | Scheduled Monuments | Historic England advised that impacts on Scheduled Monuments must be avoided and it is satisfied that Scheduled Monument Consent will not be required for this Project. | All designated and non-designated heritage assets, as well as potential heritage assets, have been taken into consideration as part of the scheme development process in order to avoid and maximise distances from known features as far as possible taking account of the wider parameters of the Project. The Project has avoided all direct physical impacts to Scheduled Monuments where practicable, as well as avoiding indirect impacts on setting. The Project has avoided all direct physical impacts to Listed Buildings, and has been designed to minimise any impacts on setting.<br><br>Scheduled Monument Consent will not be required for this Project. | | Matter agreed with other party |
| **Landscape and visual impact** | | | | | |
| HE-PEI-3 | Landscape and visual impact - mitigation | Historic England ~~seeks reassurance~~has reviewed the submitted application and is content that ~~proposed biodiversity mitigation and enhancements (including for~~ proposals outlined in the Indicative Environmental Masterplan, which is an appendix to Design Approach Document [APP-077] - [APP-079],<br>and the Biodiversity ~~Net~~ Gain~~) will not negatively impact the historic environment and that both~~ Plan [APP-426] are unlikely to cause harm to any designated ~~and undesignated~~ heritage assets ~~will be considered in~~, and it is clear within the Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (Document reference 7.5, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) that consideration will be given to ensure this is the case. As such Historic England is content that the final landscape and ecology management details are included as a DCO requirement (Schedule 2, Requirement 4) and remain in provisional agreement on this matter until Historic England is added as a consultee for submissions provided to discharge said requirement. | Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (Document reference 7.5, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) has been prepared and submitted with the DCO application. This is secured by a requirement in Schedule 2 to the DCO.<br><br>Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (Document reference 7.5, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) sets out treatment of heritage assets within the Order Limits with regard to the design of biodiversity mitigation, compensation and enhancement measures. The planting design is guided by the design principles in Design Principles Document (Document reference 5.11, DCO Volume 5, Version 1), a key principle being to recognise and respect local landscape and heritage character. As such, Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (Document reference 7.5, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) includes consideration of the historic environment, in particular historic landscape features and above ground heritage assets.<br><br>Historic England requested to be named in DCO Schedule 2 Requirement 4 as a consultee for the landscape and ecology management plan. The Applicant has ~~expressed provisional satisfaction with this position but~~agreed to this request and Draft Development Consent Order [APP-023] will be updated accordingly. Historic England reserve ~~its~~their full agreement with this matter until ~~the DCO application has been reviewed.~~this update is made. | Draft Development Consent Order [APP-023]<br><br>Biodiversity Gain Plan [APP-426]<br><br>Design Approach Document [APP-077] - [APP-079]<br><br>Design Principles Document (Document reference 5.11, DCO Volume 5, Version 1)<br><br>Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan (Document reference 7.5, DCO Volume 7, Version 1) | Matters subject to further discussion |

# 4 Signatories

4.1.1 This SoCG is agreed between Southern Water Services Limited (the Applicant) and Historic England on the date below.

| Signed for Historic England |
|---|
| Name |
| Position |
| Date |
| Duly authorised for and on behalf of Historic England |

| Signed for Southern Water Services Limited |
|---|
| Name |
| Position |
| Date |
| Duly authorised for and on behalf of Southern Water Services Limited |


WATER
for LIFE®
from
Southern
Water®